



THE UNIVERSITY TRIBUNAL
 ROOM 107, SIMCOE HALL
 THE UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
 TORONTO, CANADA
 M5S 1A1

978-2192

June 30, 1983

Registered Mail

Personal and Confidential

Ms. V.

Willowdale, Ontario

Dear Ms. V.

On June 28, 1983 the Trial Division of the University Tribunal considered the following charges against you:

- (1) That in or about January, 1983, you did submit an essay for credit in English 256Y entitled "The Climax in the Edible Woman" in which you knowingly represented as your own the ideas and expression of ideas of another, contrary to s.E.1(a)(ii) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters.
- (2) That in or about February, 1983, you did submit an essay for credit in English 250Y entitled "The Narrator in the Sun Also Rises and the Great Gatsby" in which you knowingly represented as your own the ideas and expression of ideas of another, contrary to s.E.1(a)(ii) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters.

I am writing to advise you formally of the decision of the Tribunal with respect to these charges. The jury found you to be guilty of both charges and imposed the following sanctions:

- failure in English 256Y and in English 250Y;
- suspension from the University of Toronto for a minimum of three years beginning September 1, 1983;
- recording of the two failures and the suspension on your academic transcript.

The jury also recommended that the University should consider you for re-admission after the minimum three year suspension period if you presented proof of successful psychological counselling. The jury also stated that, if at all possible, you should be allowed to complete the summer course in Economics in which you are presently enrolled.

June 30, 1983

- 2 -

The jury gave the following reasons for these penalties:

"We all feel that the nature of this offence is so blatant that we really feel that Ms. V. needs some help and that we do not want to cut her off completely from an education in the future. However, we do not feel that she should have access to the university for a period of time and not even then if there is not some evidence that this weakness has been overcome... We consider this a very serious offence but in view of the fact that Ms. V. has been found guilty of an offence so recently, it seems so blatant that we can hardly credit that a person who is well-adjusted would do this so we want to try to help her but we also feel that we must protect the university and other universities until that weakness of character is overcome."

I am enclosing information regarding rights of appeal. The deadline for you to file an appeal is July 21, 1983.

Sincerely,

Karel Swift
Assistant Secretary,
Academic Tribunal

KS/co
Encl.

¹ See 1981/82-06