

July 5th, 1988

CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. D.

Toronto, Ontario

Dear Mr. D.

At its hearing on Monday, June 27th, 1988 the University Tribunal considered the following charges against you:

- (1) That in or about the spring of 1987, you did submit for credit in ENG 265F an academic work being an essay entitled "Porter - Flowering Judas" which contained ideas or expressions of ideas of another, represented as your own, contrary to Section E.1.(a)(ii) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters.

In particular, the essay submitted was substantially copied from the study "Katherine Anne Porter" by John Edward Hardy (N.Y.: Ungar, 1973), pp.68-76 and no reference to the study was made in the end notes or bibliography of the essay.

- (2) That in or about the spring of 1987, you did submit for credit in ENG 265F an essay entitled "Porter - Flowering Judas" which contained references to concocted sources contrary to Section E.1.(a)(iv) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters.

In particular, the essay submitted contained references to sources other than the study "Katherine Anne Porter" by John Edward Hardy (N.Y.: Ungar, 1973), pp. 68-76 from which the essay was substantially taken.

- (3) That in or about the spring of 1987, you did submit for credit in ENG 265F an academic work being an essay entitled "Shiloh by B. A. Mason" which contained ideas or expressions of ideas of another, represented as your own, contrary to Section E.1.(a)(ii) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters.

In particular, the essay submitted was substantially copied from a book review in The New Republic entitled "Kentucky Cameos" by Anne Tyler.

- (4) That in or about the spring of 1987, you did submit for credit in ENG 265F an academic work being an essay entitled "Shiloh by B. A. Mason" which contained references to concocted sources contrary to Section E.1.(a)(iv) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters.

In particular, the essay contained footnoted references which did not relate to the body of the essay.

Based on the evidence presented, the jury unanimously found you guilty of the charges and imposed the following sanctions:

- a grade of zero in the course ENG 265F;
- suspension from the university for a period of two years from June 27th, 1988 to June 26th, 1990;
- the suspension to be recorded on your transcript for a period of five years, from June 27th, 1988 to June 26th, 1993.

The jury gave the following reasons for this decision: "the gravity and repetition of the offences." The jury also recommended that the decision of the Tribunal and the sanctions imposed be published "in order that others might be deterred and that there be clear evidence that the University upholds its standards in these matters and metes out suitable punishment to transgressors."

Information concerning rights of appeal may be found in Section E of the Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters. The deadline for filing an appeal by you or by the University is July 29th, 1988.

Yours truly,

Dominique Petersen
Secretary
University Tribunal

DF:md

cc: E. P. Armstrong
K. N. Feldman
J. E. Foley
M. Dafoe
J. B. B. Smith