



University of Toronto TORONTO ONTARIO M5S 1A1

Appealed:
1991/92-03

University Tribunal

September 26th, 1991

PERSONAL & CONFIDENTIAL

Ms R.

Burlington, Ontario

Dear Ms R.

At its hearing on Wednesday, September 25th, 1991 the University Tribunal considered the following charges against you:

1. That in or about March of 1991, you did use an unauthorized aid in an examination or term test or in connection with a term test or examination in that you made additions to your test 1 in PSY202S after the conclusion of the test and then submitted it with a request that it be re-marked, contrary to Section E.1.(a)(i) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters. The test was concluded on or about January 30, 1991, and it was submitted for re-marking with the unauthorized additions on or about March 11, 1991.
2. That in or about March 1991, you made additions to your PSY202S term 1 test after the conclusion of the test with the intent to falsify or alter your academic record, being your course results for PSY202S, contrary to Sections F.2. and E.1.(c) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters. The test was concluded on or about January 30, 1991, and it was submitted for re-marking with unauthorized additions on or about March 11, 1991.

Based on the evidence provided, the jury unanimously found you guilty of the charges and imposed the following sanctions:

- a grade of zero in the course PSY 202S;
- suspension from the University for three years, from September 25th, 1991 to September 24th, 1994;
- that the suspension and the reason for it be recorded on your academic transcript for a period of five years; and
- that the decision and sanctions imposed be reported to the Vice-President and Provost for publication in the University newspapers.

The jury gave the following reasons for their decision: "First of all, we thought it was a knowing attempt to cheat. Very careful insertions on the paper, such that it was obvious that they had been added. It was a second offence; she had no excuse for not knowing that what she was doing was serious. And also we were concerned, that having been caught once and received a fairly serious sanction, that that did not deter her from doing something again. So, overall we thought that it was a very serious offence. It was detrimental to both the University and the other students. When we considered it in isolation, we felt that a five-year suspension would have been justified but several of the members saw different extenuating circumstances. Three years was the mean of the opinion that was acceptable to everyone and a is unanimous recommendation."

Information concerning rights of appeal may be found in Section L of the Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters. The deadline for filing an appeal by you or by the University is October 18th, 1991.

Yours truly,

Dominique Petersen
Secretary
University Tribunal

DP*np

cc: B. Fishbein
L. Rothstein
J. E. Foley
D. B. Cook
J. Percy
L. T. McCormick