

Heard with:  
1992/93-04

University of Toronto TORONTO ONTARIO M5S 1A1

---

**University Tribunal**

October 27th, 1992

**BY REGISTERED MAIL****PERSONAL & CONFIDENTIAL**

Mr. L.

Toronto, Ontario

Dear Mr. L.

At its hearing on September 23rd, 1992 and October 21st, 1992, the University Tribunal considered the following charges against you:

1. that on or about April 1, 1992, you did represent as your own in an academic work and submitted for credit, an idea or an expression of an idea or work of another or you aided, assisted, abetted, counselled or conspired with another person to represent as her own in an academic work submitted for credit in PHM 309 an idea or an expression of an idea or work of another, contrary to Sections B.I.1. (d) and B.II.1. (a) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters;
2. that on or about April 1, 1992 you did obtain unauthorized assistance in connection with an academic work or aided, assisted, abetted, counselled or conspired with another person to obtain unauthorized assistance in connection with an academic work, contrary to Section B.I.1. (b) and B.II.1. (a) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters.
3. that on or about April 1, 1992 you did submit for credit an academic work containing a reference to a source which had been concocted, contrary to section B.I.1. (f) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters.

In particular, many of the ideas and much of the work of the paper you submitted in PHM 309 were identical to a paper entitled "Consumer Selection and Perception of OTC Products", submitted by Ms C. on April 1, 1992 in PHM 309.

4. that on or about May 5, 1992 you did obtain unauthorized assistance or, aided, assisted, abetted, counselled, or conspired with another person to obtain unauthorized assistance in an academic examination, contrary to Section B.I.II. (b) and Section B.II.1. of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters.

Out of the 90 questions on the examination both you and Ms C. had 27 incorrect answers. The incorrect answers were all on the same questions and the same incorrect answer was selected in every case. There were five possible answers for each question.

I am writing to formally advise you of the decision of the Tribunal with respect to these charges. The jury has found you guilty of the charges and has imposed the following sanctions:

- grade of 'O' in PHM 309 and PCL 361X.
- suspension of one year from the University, ending June 30, 1993.
- that the suspension and the reason for it be recorded on your academic transcript for three years, ending June 30, 1995.
- that the decision and sanctions imposed be reported to the Vice-President and Provost for publication in the University newspapers.

In reaching its decision, the jury stated that "the Tribunal recognizes that the student has acknowledged guilt and has shown remorse for his offences. Nonetheless the offences themselves are serious and represent offences both against the University and involving another student".

Information concerning rights of appeal may be found in Section C.III of the Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters. The deadline for filing an appeal by you or by the University is November 17th, 1992.

Yours truly,



Lynn Snowden  
Secretary  
University Tribunal

c.c.: K. Chown  
L. Rothstein  
D. Perrier  
S. Satchel  
D. Cook  
J. Foley

---