



University of Toronto TORONTO ONTARIO M5S 1A1

University Tribunal

March 16, 1994

BY REGISTERED MAIL

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. L.

Oakville, Ontario

Dear Mr. L.

At its hearing on March 15, 1994, the University Tribunal considered the following charges against you:

1. THAT on or about November 24, 1992, you did represent as your own in an academic work or term test and submitted for credit an idea or expression of an idea or work of another contrary to Section B.I.1. (d) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters; and
2. THAT on or about November 24, 1992, you did use or possess an unauthorized aid or obtain unauthorized assistance in connection with an academic work or term test contrary to Section B.I.1. (b) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters.

In particular, you submitted Lab Exercise No. 7, portions of which were virtually identical to the Lab Exercise submitted by another student, Ms O. Ms O. 's Lab Exercise No. 7 had been left unattended in your presence.

I am writing to formally advise you of the decision of the Tribunal with respect to these charges. The jury unanimously found you guilty of both charges, and agreed to impose the following sanction:

- suspension from the University for a period of one year;
- and that:
- notation of the sanction and the reasons for it to be recorded on your transcript for a period of five years;
 - the decision be reported to the Provost for publication in the University newspapers, with the name of the student withheld.

In reaching its decision, the jury stated that "The University community is based on trust and honesty. In this decision, we hoped to maintain that trust and honesty in our environment. We believe that cheating is unfair to other students whose marks are affected by the class average. We feel that this will hopefully act as a deterrent to other students who might be considering cheating as an option. The printing of the sanction on his transcript will hopefully act as a red flag if problems in the future occur should he choose to come back to the University. We also feel that the one-year suspension will offer him time to grow, to mature, and to decide if he really wants to be in university, or choose to do something else. Should he choose to come back, we hope that he will behave more appropriately."

Information concerning rights of appeal may be found in Section C.III of the Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters. The deadline for filing an appeal by you or by the University is April 15, 1994.

Yours truly,



Lynn Snowden
Secretary
University Tribunal

c.c.: R. David
L.R. Rothstein
C. Houston
L. McCormick
D. Cook
A. Sedra